CCB Meeting
Decision Summary

Requests Covered

	Submitted By	Area Affected	Type Of Request	Cost/Schedule Impact	Document Title
01	Lhadj Moumena	IMPCASE database – Designer	Environment Change	High	CCB_09_4_2003_item1
02	Dan Hall	Commons/CGAP	Requirements Change	High	CCB_09_4_2003_item2
03	Sara Silver/Maria Koshy	CGAP	Requirements Change	High	CCB_09_4_2003_item3
04	Maria Koshy	CGAP	Requirements Change	High	CCB_09_4_2003_item4
05	Sara Silver	Receipt and Referral	Defect	High	CCB_09_4_2003_item5
R1	Vickie Fadeley	FSR	FSR Supplementary Specification	High	Revisited: Update from 8/28/03 CCB

Request & Decision Summary

	Submitted By	Area Affected	Type Of Request	Cost/Schedule Impact	Document Title
	Lhadj Moumena	IMPCASE database – Designer	Environment Change	High	CCB_08_14_2003_item1

01

Request:
Intermedia installation has been requested for ClearQuest test searching functionality. This will require an Oracle installation to the IMPCASE database. This request will require approval for the installation as well as a change to two init.ora parameters. A bounce of the database will be required.

The following parameters will be set:
text_enable = false
shared_pool_size = 104857600

Current parameters in IMPCASE:
text_enable = TRUE
shared_pool_size = 95846400

Decision: Approved

Action Items:
1. (Mike Wilson) Spot check Designer to make sure there are no issues.
2. (Ops/Mike Wilson) Coordinate change activities and timing.

	Submitted By	Area Affected	Type Of Request	Cost/Schedule Impact	Document Title
02	Dan Hall	Commons/CGAP	Requirements Change	High	CCB_08_14_2003_item2

Request:
CGAP Requires a secure interface for NIH users to review the CGAP Reports identified in previous CCB request. Commons was chosen as the best application to support this. By creating a new role in Commons called CGAP, users with this role will be able to see the CGAP related reports as a menu item off of Administration once authenticated by Commons.

Decision: Pending Approval

Action Items:
1. (JJ Maurer) Convene meeting to discuss design decision to put this NIH-oriented functionality into Commons. Meeting should include Sherry Zucker, Tim Twomey, Penny Colbert, Architecture representative and any other stakeholders. If the design decision stands the request to add the role will be approved following an email to the CCB of the meeting outcome.
2. (Alastair Thomson) Modify requirements plan to include distribution of Requirements Review results to larger audience than attendees. May want to post on eRA website.

03	Submitted By	Area Affected	Type Of Request	Cost/Schedule Impact	Document Title
	Sara Silver/Maria Koshy	CGAP	Requirements Change	High	CCB_08_14_2003_item3

Request:

Incorporated the changes suggested in the requirements review.
- Intake Application From File use case :
  Changed "The system copies the file to the exchange, storing it locally to the Exchange file system" to "The system moves the file to the exchange, storing it to the Exchange file system".
- Process A Core424 Shell use case :
  Corrected the wrong numbering.
- Supplementary Specification Changes:

  Added the following statuses to e-submission status (section 12.5):
    o E-application submission awaiting PI and SO sign off
    o E-application submission awaiting PI sign off
    o E-application submission awaiting SO sign off
    o E-application submission complete: Application in process

  numbering in sup spec Application Validations table suggests that PIChangeIndicator (1.2.2.1.5.7) is part of SupplementGrantNumber (1.2.2.1.5). Changed number for PIChangeIndicator to 1.2.2.5.

  1.2.1.4.1 used to be OrganizationIPFCode and is now (according to the mapping document) OrganizationDUNS. The validation in the supp spec was "Must be a valid NIH IPF id number." New validation is "Must have been submitted as a DUNS number for an NIH IPF". The type has been changed to String.

  Inserted 1.2.1.5.13.11.2.1.2 and renumbered following components at that level, for address line 4 in applicant organization contact info. This was done to be consistent with the mapping document.

  1.2.2.1.3 in supp spec (revision of application number) is 1.2.2.2 in mapping document. All numbering from this point forward to the end of the table has been changed.

  Added 1.2.2.8.3.1- 1.2.2.8.3.5 under 1.2.2.8.3 (Narrative)(Specific Aims, BackgroundAndSignificance, PreliminaryStudies, ProgressReport, ResearchDesignAndMethods)

  Changed title AnimalSubjects to VertebrateAnimals (1.2.2.8.5)

  1.2.2.10 (Investigator Cover Letter) was in mapping document but not in supp spec. It has been added.

  In table with Generic and Complex Validations, deleted the following message: "A warning is generated if the application is a competing continuation (element 1.2.2.1.4 is specified) and the PI Account Identifier (1.2.1.5.15.2) is different than the PI on the prior grant and the change PI element (1.2.2.1.5.7) has not been checked on the checklist." There is a corresponding validation already in the table.
  In last row of table with Generic and Complex Validations, adjusted component names and numbers to correspond to changes made in the Application Validations table.

- Process Application Use case:
  Updated use case to support the requirement for First Contact Module to quickly display the face page, budget page, and checklist—the images will be generated and saved as separate documents when the grant image is generated.
  Instead of 'awaiting PI validation', the status has been changed to 'awaiting PI and SO sign off' (to be consistent with statuses in Supplemental Specifications).
  Put the deletion and re-establishment steps into the Application Processor instead of the Ticket Processor. Added an alternate flow that indicates that the prior submission is deleted before the new one is loaded.

- Process a Ticket Use case:
  Changed 'The system checks if the application is a replacement' to ' The system checks if the application is a correction' (to be consistent with Supplemental Specifications).
  Put the deletion and re-establishment steps into the Application Processor instead of the Ticket

	Submitted By	Area Affected	Type Of Request	Cost/Schedule Impact	Document Title
04	Maria Koshy	CGAP	Requirements Change	High	CCB_08_14_2003_item4

Request:

The reference implementation supplementary specification and the use cases are to be baselined .
This document is intended to provide a consistent foundation for all the requirements for the reference implementation components.
    Service Provider Reference Implementation CGAP Supplementary Specification
    Assemble Grant Application Use case
    Assemble Grant Application Message Use case
    Generate Ticket Request Use case
    Store Received Messages Use case
    View Received Messages Use case
    Submit Status Request Use case

This was listed in the CGAP October Requirements Still To Be Baselined document.

Decision: Approved

	Submitted By	Area Affected	Type Of Request	Cost/Schedule Impact	Document Title
05	Sara Silver	Receipt and Referral	Defect	High	CCB_08_14_2003_item5

Request:

For the July release, the user was supposed to be able to sort the View Application Status hitlist by council date in descending order (i.e., most recent council dates would appear at the top of the list). This was not done correctly. All other columns sort correctly in ascending or descending order, but not the column that was originally requested by the user.

The original request from the user was made November 19, 2002.

Decision: Approved

	Submitted By	Area Affected	Type Of Request	Cost/Schedule Impact	Document Title
R1	Vickie Fadeley	FSR	FSR Supplementary Specification	High	Update from 8/28/03 CCB

Request:
Use Case Specification: FSR Supplementary Specification requires a change for the FSR Actor Role. Currently, FSR Actors are NOT permitted to update Box 13. (Authorized Official, Name, Title, Telephone) in the Financial Status Report entry form. The FSR Actors are entering the correct information in Box. 12 Remarks and OFMDE Actors are re-entering the information in Box 13.

Decision: *Approved (Per Mike Wilson, 10 hours of development can be absorbed)*

Action Item:
1. (Mike Wilson) Determine if the development effort of 10 hours can be absorbed without affecting the schedule and report back to the CCB via email.